Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 8525 Filed 08/26/13 Page 1 of 6

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 63607) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNS' 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241) PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Class Plain					
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
13						
14	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. M07-1827 SI MDL No. 1827				
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	This Document Relates to: ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS ACTIONS	DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT REGARDING LATE-FILED AND DISPUTED CLAIMS Date: n/a Time: n/a Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor The Honorable Susan Illston				
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		MASTER FILE NO. M07-1827 SI				
	DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT REGARDING LATE-FILED AND DISPUTED CLAIMS					

INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Court's July 12, 2013 Order Approving First Distribution of Settlement Funds to the Direct Purchaser Class Members (Dkt. No. 8302) ("Distribution Order"), Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs provide the following status report.

On July 12, 2013, the Court directed claimants seeking payment for late-filed or disputed claims to file a declaration under oath explaining why their claims should be paid. Distribution Order ¶ 7. The Court ordered that \$58,185,584.04 be held back from the first distribution of settlement funds "for future unanticipated contingencies, including for purposes of considering payment to claimants who come forward and provide good cause as to why their previously rejected claim or late-filed claim should be paid." *Id.* ¶ 5.

Seventeen claimants filed submissions regarding their late-filed claims, and three claimants filed submissions regarding their disputed claims. As explained further below, Class Counsel recommends that the Court direct payment of the seventeen late-filed claims identified in Exhibit A of the accompanying Declaration of Robin Niemiec in Support of Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs' Status Report Regarding Late-Filed and Disputed Claims ("Niemiec 2d Decl."). Further, Class Counsel recommends that the Court authorize payment of Transcontinental Overseas, Inc.'s and Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC's previously-denied claims. However, Class Counsel continues to agree with Claim Administrator Rust Consulting, Inc.'s ("Rust's") original determination that a portion of B&B Appliance, Inc.'s claim is ineligible for payment.

¹ Payment of the late-filed claims, Transcontinental's claim, and Alliance's claim will result in an estimated *pro rata* reduction of \$.00577468 per loss dollar for the Panel Class, for an estimated *pro rata* payout of \$.12835095 per recognized loss dollar. Niemiec Decl. ¶ 10. For the Product Class, payment of these claims will result in an estimated *pro rata* reduction of \$.00047087 per loss dollar, for an estimated *pro rata* payout of \$.04090097 per loss dollar. *Id.* These figures may change because the amount of funds remaining in the settlement fund will depend on various factors, including, *inter alia*, the number of uncashed checks, interested accrued, taxes due, and claims administrator fees. *Id.* Plaintiffs will provide the Court with the balance of the settlement fund when they move for an order authorizing final distribution.

1

DISCUSSION

2

I. Class Counsel Recommends Paying the Claims of the Seventeen Claimants Who Filed Declarations Regarding Late-Filed Claims.

3 4

5

6

7

Rust received 226 claim forms after the Court's April 5, 2012 deadline to submit claims, 179 of which Rust determined to be eligible had they been filed in a timely manner. Niemiec Decl. in Support of Motion for Distribution ¶ 35 (Dkt. No. 8019). Seventeen claimants who filed late claims submitted declarations to Rust explaining "why the claim's lateness should be excused

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

II.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and payment should be allowed." Niemiec 2d Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. A (summary of late-filed claims).

Class Counsel recommends that the Court order payment of the seventeen late-filed claims supported by the declarations. See In re Gypsum Antitrust Cases, 565 F.2d 1123, 1128 (9th Cir. 1977) (discussing district court's discretion regarding late claims). Each of these claimants has provided a reasonable basis for the Court to excuse the late filing of their claims. The Court-ordered reserve of \$58 million is sufficient to cover payment of these late-filed claims.² Niemiec 2d Decl. ¶ 4.

Three Claimants Submitted Declarations Regarding Disputed Claims.

Three claimants submitted declarations seeking payment of claims Rust denied. Class Counsel recommends that the Court authorize payment of Transcontinental Overseas, Inc.'s ("Transcontinental") and Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC's ("Alliance") claims. However, Class Counsel supports Rust's original decision that a portion of B&B Appliance's ("B&B") claim is ineligible because it falls outside of the class definition.

Transcontinental Overseas, Inc. Α.

Transcontinental submitted a claim for \$14,156,000.00. Niemiec Decl. ¶ 6. Rust originally rejected Transcontinental's claim because it was not supported by adequate documentation. Transcontinental had submitted only canceled checks. Based on this

28

- 2 -

² Unless the Court orders otherwise, Rust intends to pay these claims, and those of Transcontinental and Alliance, as part of the second and final distribution. Niemiec 2d Decl. ¶ 10. Rust has not yet calculated the final award amounts of these claims because such amounts will depend on the balance remaining in the settlement fund at the time of the final distribution. *Id.* As noted above, the amount of remaining funds will depend on various factors. *See*, *supra*, n.1.

documentation, it was not apparent whether Transcontinental purchased eligible products from				
Defendants. Thereafter, on August 2, 2013, Transcontinental submitted, along with its canceled				
checks, an affidavit stating the canceled checks				
represent purchases of LCD flat screen televisions during the relevant time period covered by the LCD flat screen antitrust litigation and were purchases shipped to the United States from defendants, affiliates and/or alleged co-conspirators in that litigation.				
Niemiec Decl., Ex. C (Decl. of Kishichand Parvani, submitted by Robert A. Goodin, Esq.). C				
Counsel considers the affidavit submitted under oath, along with the cancelled checks, to be				
acceptable proof for purposes of the administration of this claim.				
B. <u>Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC</u>				
Alliance submitted a claim for \$1,021,172.00. Niemiec Decl. ¶ 7. Rust initially denied				
Alliance's claim, concluding that Alliance was a government entity and therefore not eligible to				
participate in the settlement. <i>Id</i> . However, Alliance has responded with proof that it is not a				
government entity. <i>Id.</i> Thus, Class Counsel recommends that the Court authorize payment of				
Alliance's previously denied claim.				
C. <u>B&B Appliance</u>				
B&B submitted a claim for \$3,285,358.00. Niemiec Decl. ¶ 8. Of this amount, Rust				
found \$3,216,348.00 was ineligible because these "purchases were not made directly from a				
defendant or any subsidiary thereof, or any named affiliate or any named co-conspirator." <i>Id.</i> ,				
Ex. D. This was because B&B Appliance's supporting documentation showed that its purchases				
were made through a third-party intermediary. <i>Id.</i> ¶ 8, Ex. E. Moreover, the subject B&B				
purchases were made through Marta Cooperative of America ("Marta"), which has opted out of				
this case on behalf of itself and its members. <i>Id.</i> \P 8.				
B&B nevertheless maintains that it was a direct purchaser because "[a]ll of the purchase				
orders B&B submitted with respect to the claim were issued by B&B DIRECTLY to the				
defendant/co-conspirators and all of the shipping information B&B submitted with respect to the				
claim show shipments DIRECTLY from the defendants/co-conspirators to B&B." Niemiec				

MASTER FILE NO. M07-1827 SI

Decl., Ex. F (emphasis in original). However, the materials submitted show that payment to the

1 defendants was made by Marta, and that B&B later made payment to Marta for the purchases, 2 which tends to disprove direct purchases. *Id.*, Ex. E. 3 Co-Lead Class Counsel therefore continue to agree with Rust's determination. Based on 4 the supporting documents B&B submitted, it appears that B&B did not purchase directly from 5 any Defendant or any subsidiary thereof, or any named affiliate or any named co-conspirator. 6 Thus, the disputed purchases fall outside the class definitions. Class Counsel therefore 7 recommend that the Court order that this claim be denied. 8 CONCLUSION 9 For the foregoing reasons, Direct Purchaser Class Plaintiffs respectfully request that the 10 Court (1) authorize payment of the seventeen late-filed claims identified in Exhibit A to the 11 Niemiec Declaration, (2) authorize payment of the Transcontinental Overseas, Inc.'s and Alliance 12 for Sustainable Energy, LLC's previously-rejected claims, and (3) confirm that the portion of the 13 claim filed by B&B Appliance at issue was properly denied. 14 Respectfully submitted, 15 Dated: August 26, 2013 LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 16 By: /s/ Eric B. Fastiff Eric B. Fastiff 17 18 Richard M. Heimann (State Bar No. 063607) Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260) 19 Brendan Glackin (State Bar No. 199643) Marc A. Pilotin (State Bar No. 266369) 20 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 21 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 8525 Filed 08/26/13 Page 6 of 6

1	Dated: August 26, 2013	PEARSON, S	SIMON, & WARSHA	AW LLP			
2		R_{V}	/s/ Bruce L. Simon				
3		Бу	Bruce L. Simon				
4							
5		Clifford H. Pearson (State Bar No. 108523) Bruce L. Simon (State Bar No. 96241)					
6		Aaron M. Sheanin (State Bar No. 214472) Robert G. Retana (State Bar No. 148677) 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104					
7							
8		Telephone:	(415) 433-9000 (415) 433-9008				
9			ss Counsel for the Di	root Purchasor Class			
10		Plaintiffs	is Counsel for the Di	reci i urchaser Class			
11		PRITZKER	LAW				
12		Elizabeth C. l					
13		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415.692.6772 Facsimile: 415.366.6110					
14							
15		Liaison Coun	sel for the Direct Pu	rchaser Class Plaintiffs			
16							
17	ATTESTATION						
18	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer of this document attests that concurrence						
19	in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory.						
20							
21	Datada Anguet 26, 2012	D	(/ E : D E :: CC				
22	Dated: August 26, 2013	By:	/s/ Eric B. Fastiff Eric B. Fastiff	-			
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
_0		- 5 -		MASTER FILE NO. M07-1827 SI			